## APPEAL NO. 010682

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on March 13, 2001. The hearing officer held that the respondent (claimant) injured her lumbar spine on \_\_\_\_\_\_, when she sustained an undisputed thoracic strain, and that she had disability for the period from September 7, 2000, through the date of the CCH.

The appellant (carrier) has appealed, and argues that the evidence is insufficient to support either injury or disability. The claimant recites facts she believes are in favor of the decision.

## **DECISION**

We affirm the hearing officer, although different inferences could be drawn from the record.

The claimant's theory of recovery was that she was diagnosed with a thoracic sprain, after a \_\_\_\_\_\_, lifting incident, by physician's assistants, not doctors, so that injury to her upper lumbar spine was missed. She said that she remained in pain throughout several months of work until it became unbearable, and was taken off work September 7, 2000, by her new treating doctor. The hearing officer clearly found this testimony credible, notwithstanding evidence to the contrary and objective evidence of only mild dessication in the upper lumbar area.

The burden is on the claimant to prove that an injury occurred within the course and scope of employment. <u>Service Lloyds Insurance Co. v. Martin</u>, 855 S.W.2d 816 (Tex. App.-Dallas 1993, no writ); <u>Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Page</u>, 553 S.W.2d 98 (Tex. 1977). A claimant's testimony alone may establish that an injury has occurred, and disability has resulted from it. <u>Houston Independent School District v. Harrison</u>, 744 S.W.2d 298, 299 (Tex. App.-Houston [1st Dist.] 1987, no writ).

The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing. Section 410.165(a). The decision should not be set aside because different inferences and conclusions may be drawn upon review, even when the record contains evidence that would lend itself to different inferences. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence, as here, would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied); American Motorists Insurance Co. v. Volentine, 867 S.W.2d 170 (Tex. App.-Beaumont 1993, no writ).

The decision of the hearing officer will be set aside only if the evidence supporting the hearing officer's determination is so weak or against the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company v. Middleman, 661 S.W.2d 182 (Tex. App.-San Antonio 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.). As that is not clearly the situation here, we affirm the decision and order.

	Susan M. Kelley Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Gary L. Kilgore	
Appeals Judge	
Philip F. O'Neill	
Appeals Judge	